UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

EQUITYBUILD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 18-CV-5587

Judge John Z. Lee

Magistrate Judge Young B. Kim

SEC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RECEIVER'S FOURTEENTH FEE APPLICATION

The SEC supports the Receiver's Fourteenth Fee Application (ECF No. 1181). The SEC confirms that it has reviewed the Receiver's invoices, they substantially comply with the SEC's billing guidelines, and the SEC approves of their payment. The SEC additionally incorporates its arguments supporting the Receiver's earlier fee petitions. (*See* ECF 526, 606, 622, 705, 797, 803, 922, 970, 1002, 1220). In granting every petition on which it has ruled, the Court has repeatedly approved the precise types of activities for which the Receiver now seeks payment, finding them beneficial to the Receivership Estate. (*See, e.g.*, ECF 1213).

The institutional lenders' present objections (ECF 1250) primarily repeat their objections to the Receiver's earlier petitions, which the Court has consistently overruled. (*See, e.g.*, ECF 1031, 1213). The lenders' chief objection parrots their earlier objections that the Receiver has not shown his fees are from activities the Court determined deserve payment on a priority basis. In overruling similar objections, the Court has recognized the lenders' characterization of the Receiver's activities is "too narrow." (ECF 1213, at 7). That finding holds true here as well.

1

Case: 1:18-cv-05587 Document #: 1254 Filed: 05/26/22 Page 2 of 4 PageID #:65810

The types of activities for which the Receiver seeks payment – managing the estate, liquidating properties, administering the claims process, and working to bring additional assets into the receivership – are the same types of activities the Court has repeatedly found to be appropriate work that entitles the Receiver to reasonable compensation. As with the previous fee petitions, the Receiver deserves to be compensated for the beneficial work he has performed on behalf of, collectively, the creditors of the Receivership Estate.

Despite the Court's repeated confirmation that the Receiver should be compensated for his efforts on behalf of the Estate, the Receiver and his small law firm have gone effectively unpaid since *January 2020*. (ECF 1181, p. 24). Since that time, the institutional lenders' obstructive efforts have resulted in the Receiver being deprived of more than \$2.86 million in *Court-approved* fees, covering *two years of work*, that were already heavily discounted from the Receiver's firm's standard billing rates. (*Id.*, pp.4 n.2, 20, 24). It is striking that this same small group of objecting lenders, who caused so much delay in this litigation and are responsible for the Receiver incurring many of the fees of which they complain, are the *only* claimants that have challenged the Receiver's fees and ability to be compensated for his work.

As previously recognized by the Court, the lenders' litigiousness has distracted the Receiver from his core work and depleted the recovery for the victims of the Cohens' fraud and other creditors. (ECF 1031, pp. 11-12 n.32). Despite the Court's admonishment, the lenders' obstruction has continued, as evidenced by their recent (unsuccessful) motion to appoint a fee examiner that would further delay the Receiver being paid for his work. (*See* ECF 1177, 1184). The lenders' tactics should not become precedent for others seeking to (a) disrupt future receiverships or (b) dissuade well-qualified receiver candidates from volunteering out of fear they will not receive payment for their valuable services to courts and creditors.

2

Case: 1:18-cv-05587 Document #: 1254 Filed: 05/26/22 Page 3 of 4 PageID #:65811

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 26, 2022

/s/ Benjamin Hanauer Benjamin J. Hanauer (hanauerb@sec.gov) Timothy J. Stockwell (stockwellt@sec.gov) U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 175 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1450 Chicago, IL 60604 Phone: (312) 353-7390 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398 Case: 1:18-cv-05587 Document #: 1254 Filed: 05/26/22 Page 4 of 4 PageID #:65812

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I provided service of the foregoing Reply, via ECF filing, to all

counsel of record and Defendant Shaun Cohen, on May 26, 2022.

<u>/s/ Benjamin Hanauer</u> Benjamin J. Hanauer 175 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1450 Chicago, IL 60604 Phone: (312) 353-7390 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff